

Comparison of Retinal Thickness between Diabetic Patients without Diabetic Retinopathy and Non-Diabetic Controls Using SD-OCT



Muhammad Qasim¹, Anusha Lund², Sana Urooj³
Asif Maqsood⁴
^{1,2,3}Isra University Karachi Campus, ⁴Rawalpindi Medical University, Rawalpindi

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To compare retinal thickness in diabetic patients without diabetic retinopathy and non-diabetic controls using Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (SD-OCT).

Study Design: Cross-sectional observational study.

Place and Duration of Study: Diabetic Eye Clinic and General Eye out patient department of Al-Ibrahim Eye Hospital, Karachi from July 2018 to August 2018.

Methods: Patients with type 2 diabetes and non-diabetic healthy control eyes of either gender and age between 20 and 60 years were recruited. Patients with any other systemic and ocular disease affecting retinal thickness were excluded. After initial examination and informed written consent, patients were referred to ocular investigation department for detailed retinal examination and SD-OCT for measuring central retinal thickness. Data were analyzed for continuous and categorical variable using SPSS.

Results: Average Central Retinal thickness was 297 ± 21 and $315\pm 13\mu\text{m}$ and Central Foveal Thickness was 246 ± 16 and $249\pm 19\mu\text{m}$ in diabetic and non-Diabetic patients respectively. Quadrant-wise evaluation revealed retinal thickness as follows; nasal 310 and $324\mu\text{m}$, temporal (291 and $304\mu\text{m}$), superior (297 and $316\mu\text{m}$) and inferior (292 and $314\mu\text{m}$) in diabetics and non-diabetics respectively. Retinal thickness was greater at nasal and lesser at temporal regions. Nasal, superior, and inferior thickness was more strongly correlated with each other compared to temporal thickness. Average retinal thickness was significantly correlated with inferior and nasal thickness.

Conclusion: Diabetic patients without DR had thinner central retinal thickness compared to non-diabetic controls, as measured by SD-OCT. Specifically, the average central retinal thickness and central foveal thickness were slightly reduced in diabetic patients.

Key words: Diabetic Retinopathy, Diabetes Mellitus, Optical Coherence Tomography.

How to Cite this Article: Qasim M, Lund A, Urooj S, Maqsood A. Comparison of Retinal Thickness Between Diabetic Patients Without Diabetic Retinopathy and Non-Diabetic Controls Using SD-OCT. 2024;40(4):420-423. Doi: 10.36351/pjo.v40i4.1602

Correspondence: Muhammad Qasim
Isra University Karachi Campus
Email: qasimoptometrist@yahoo.com

Received: February 19, 2023
Accepted: September 24, 2024

INTRODUCTION

Chronic hyperglycemia in diabetic patients is linked to

long-term harmful effects on human body and can lead to organ failure, particularly affecting kidneys, eyes, heart, nerves, and blood vessels.¹ More than 371 million people are suffering from diabetes globally, however half of the patients with diabetes remain undiagnosed and the ratio is increasing with time.² Patients who are diagnosed with diabetes before the age of 30 years have 50% risk of Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) after 10 years and 90% after 30 years.³ A

common consequence of diabetes is diabetic retinopathy, a condition in which the retina, or layer of tissue at the back of the eye, gradually deteriorates.

Studies have shown that there is variation in retinal thickness based on not only age, gender and ethnicity but there are variations in diabetic patients with DR.⁴⁻⁶

However, there are variable results shown by different studies. Some studies indicate difference in the average retinal thickness between people with diabetes and those without the disease and others showing no major difference in average retinal thickness among diabetic and non-diabetic patients regardless of age, gender or HbA1c level.⁷ Another study conducted in Shanghai Medical Center to evaluate thickness among different retinal layers in diabetic and non-diabetic patients found no difference except a decrease in inner plexiform layer thickness.⁸

As the retinal thickness varies with age, gender and ethnicity, this study was designed to assess the difference in the thickness of retina in diabetic patients and non-diabetic controls.

METHODS

This study included 160 eyes of 40 diabetic (20 males and 20 females) and 40 non-diabetic (20 males and 20 females) individuals. They were recruited through convenient sampling from June to August. Patients with type 2 diabetes and non-diabetic individuals with healthy eyes, either gender and age between 20 and 60 years were included. Patients with problem other than diabetes and type 1 diabetes were excluded. Patients were recruited from diabetic eye clinic for diabetes and general eye OPD for non-diabetic controls. After initial examination and informed written consent, patients were referred to ocular investigation department for evaluating retinal thickness using SD-OCT. Data was collected based on the tenets of declaration of Helsinki. Nine standard ETDRS grid

was used for assessment of retinal thickness using SD-OCT.

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 23 and the continuous variables were described as mean and standard deviation while categorical variables were presented as frequency and percentages.

RESULTS

Mean age of the patients was 36.25 years (range 20-59). There were equal number of males and females in each group. Mean average retinal thickness was $297 \pm 21 \mu\text{m}$ and $315 \pm 13 \mu\text{m}$ in diabetics and non-diabetics respectively. Similarly, mean foveal thickness was 249 ± 19 and $246 \pm 16 \mu\text{m}$ in diabetics and non-diabetics respectively. Quadrant-wise retinal thickness is shown in Table 1. Mean foveal thickness was $260 \mu\text{m}$ and $237 \mu\text{m}$ in males and females respectively. Average retinal thickness was $305.5 \mu\text{m}$ in males and $282 \mu\text{m}$ in females. Table 2 shows the Pearson bivariate correlation of predictor variables. It shows that nasal, superior, and inferior thickness are more strongly correlated with each other compared to temporal thickness. Average retinal thickness was significantly correlated with inferior and nasal thickness.

Table 1: Quadrant Wise Retinal Thickness among Study Participants.

| Quadrant | Diabetic | Non-Diabetic | P value |
|----------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Nasal | 310 ± 17 | 314 ± 14 | <0.001 |
| Temporal | 291 ± 31 | 304 ± 14 | 0.016 |
| Superior | 297 ± 20 | 306 ± 14 | <0.001 |
| Inferior | 292 ± 19 | 314 ± 13 | <0.001 |

DISCUSSION

This particular study shows that diabetic patients without DR had thinner central retinal thickness compared to non-diabetic controls, as measured by SD-OCT.

Table 2: Pearson bivariate correlation of predictor variables.

| Variable | Average Thickness | Nasal Thickness | Temporal Thickness | Superior Thickness | Inferior Thickness |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 Average Thickness | 1 | 0.42** | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.33** |
| 2 Nasal thickness | 0.42** | 1 | 0.59** | 0.77** | 0.89** |
| 3 Temporal thickness | 0.16 | 0.59** | 1 | 0.62** | 0.58** |
| 4 Superior thickness | 0.20 | 0.77** | 0.62** | 1 | 0.82** |
| 5 Inferior thickness | 0.33** | 0.89** | 0.58** | 0.82** | 1 |

*Significance in Pearson Correlation with a p-value less than 0.05. **Significance in Pearson Correlation with a p-value less than 0.01

Our results are consistent to a study conducted at Romania which showed that retinal thickness in patients with diabetes was thinner than the non-diabetic subjects.⁹ Study conducted at Spain showed that macular thickness remained the same in both diabetic and non-diabetic patients.¹⁰

Regarding gender difference it was seen that retinal thickness in diabetic patients was different in males and females.¹¹ According to our results, males had thicker retina as compared to the females.

In contrast to this another research showed that longer duration of diabetes was related with mild increase in retinal thickness.¹² Singapore epidemiology study revealed contrasting results indicating increased macular thickness in patients with diabetes versus non-diabetics.¹³

The variable results in these studies might be caused by age, gender, ethnicity, HbA1C and blood sugar levels which can have effects at molecular level.¹⁴

If we see the quadrant-wise analysis, a Chinese study showed that retinal thickness was greater in nasal quadrant as compared to the other quadrants.¹⁵ Instead of average retinal thickness, a local study measured retinal nerve fiber layer thinning in diabetic patients which can have an overall effect on the average retinal thickness.¹⁶

It is evident from the results that whether thickness is significantly increased or decreased, there is statistically significant difference between the diabetic patients and non-diabetic individuals.¹⁷ According to a Chinese study, the average retinal thickness in individuals with and without diabetes was found to be identical, regardless of age, gender, or HbA1c levels.¹⁸ However, a study conducted in Gujarat, India, discovered that retinal thickness varies with age in a healthy population, showing a decrease as age increases.¹⁹ Interestingly, a Spanish study also observed a statistically significant decrease in retinal thickness among diabetic patients over an 8-year follow-up, with the exception of the temporal quadrant.²⁰

Limitations of this study are cross-sectional design, excluding older patients who are more likely to have diabetes-related retinal changes and geographical and ethnic limitations as the study was conducted in a specific geographical location with a potentially homogeneous population. Although, HbA1c levels, age, and gender are mentioned, other confounding

factors such as duration of diabetes, lifestyle factors, and medication use were not discussed, which could impact retinal thickness.

CONCLUSION

Diabetic patients without DR had thinner central retinal thickness compared to non-diabetic controls, as measured by Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (SD-OCT). Specifically, the average central retinal thickness and central foveal thickness were slightly reduced in diabetic patients. Quadrant-wise analysis revealed that the retinal thickness was consistently greater in the nasal region and lesser in the temporal region in both diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

Funding: This study was not funded by any organization.

Patient's Consent: Researchers followed the guidelines set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declared no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the Institutional review board/Ethical review board (ISO-AIEH/01).

REFERENCES

1. **Karamanou M, Protogerou A, Tsoucalas G, Androutsos G, Poulakou-Rebelakou E.** Milestones in the history of diabetes mellitus: The main contributors. *World J Diabetes.* 2016;**7(1)**:1-7. Doi:10.4239/wjd.v7.i1.1
2. **Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al.** Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;**344(18)**:1343-1350. Doi: 10.1056/NEJM200105033441801
3. **Sayin N, Kara N, Pekel G.** Ocular complications of diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes.* 2015;**6(1)**:92. Doi:10.4239/wjd.v6.i1.92
4. **Bafiq R, Mathew R, Pearce E, Abdel-Hey A, Richardson M, Bailey T, et al.** Age, sex, and ethnic variations in inner and outer retinal and choroidal thickness on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2015;**160(5)**:1034-1043. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2015.07.027

5. **Grover S, Murthy RK, Brar VS, Chalam KV.** Comparison of retinal thickness in normal eyes using Stratus and Spectralis optical coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;**51(5)**:2644-2647. Doi:10.1167/iops.09-4774
6. **Kakinoki M, Miyake T, Sawada O, Sawada T, Kawamura H, Ohji M.** Comparison of macular thickness in diabetic macular edema using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography and time-domain optical coherence tomography. *J Ophthalmol.* 2012;**2012**:959721. Doi: 10.1155/2012/959721.
7. **Jiang J, Liu Y, Chen Y, Ma B, Qian Y, Zhang Z, et al.** Analysis of Changes in Retinal Thickness in Type 2 Diabetes without Diabetic Retinopathy. *J Diabetes Res.* 2018;**2018**:3082893. Doi: 10.1155/2018/3082893.
8. **Li ST, Wang XN, Du XH, Wu Q.** Comparison of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for intra-retinal layers thickness measurements between healthy and diabetic eyes among Chinese adults. *Plos one.* 2017;**12(5)**:e0177515. Doi: 10.1371/Journal.Pone.0177515
9. **Dumitrescu AG, Istrate SL, Iancu RC, Guta OM, Ciuluvica R, Voinea L.** Retinal changes in diabetic patients without diabetic retinopathy. *Rom J Ophthalmol.* 2017;**61(4)**:249-255.
10. **García Gómez de Segura M, Martín-Arroyuelos A, Pinilla I, Araiz J.** Evaluation of macular thickness changes after uncomplicated phacoemulsification surgery in healthy subjects and diabetic patients without retinopathy by spectral domain OCT. *Diagnostics.* 2022;**12(12)**:3078. Doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12123078
11. **Oshitari T, Hanawa K, Adachi-Usami E.** Changes of macular and RNFL thicknesses measured by Stratus OCT in patients with early stage diabetes. *Eye (Lond).* 2009;**23(4)**:884-889. Doi: 10.1038/eye.2008.119.
12. **Pokhrel U, Pradhan E, Thakuri RS, Pokhrel K, Paudyal G.** Comparison of central macular thickness between diabetic patients without clinical retinopathy and non-diabetic patients. *Nepal J Ophthalmol.* 2022;**14(28)**:41-48. Doi: 10.3126/nepjoph.v14i2.40259.
13. **Dai W, Tham YC, Cheung N, Yasuda M, Tan NY, Cheung CY, et al.** Macular thickness profile and diabetic retinopathy: the Singapore Epidemiology of Eye Diseases Study. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2018;**102(8)**:1072-1076. Doi: 10.1136/Bjophthalmol-2017-310959
14. **Oshitari T, Hata N, Yamamoto S.** Endoplasmic reticulum stress and diabetic retinopathy. *Vasc Health Risk Manag.* 2008;**4(1)**:115-122. Doi: 10.2147/vhrm.2008.04.01.115.
15. **Jiang J, Liu Y, Chen Y, Ma B, Qian Y, Zhang Z, et al.** Analysis of Changes in Retinal Thickness in Type 2 Diabetes without Diabetic Retinopathy. *J Diabetes Res.* 2018;**2018**:3082893. Doi: 10.1155/2018/3082893.
16. **Mehboob MA, Amin ZA, Islam QU.** Comparison of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness between normal population and patients with diabetes mellitus using optical coherence tomography. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2019;**35(1)**:29-33. Doi: 10.12669/pjms.35.1.65.
17. **Khan B, MQ Muhammad, Shams A.** Central macular thickness – a comparative study of diabetics versus healthy. *Pak J Ophthalmol.* 2019;**35(1)**. Doi: 10.36351/pjo.v35i1.862
18. **Jiang J, Liu Y, Chen Y, Ma B, Qian Y, Zhang Z, et al.** Analysis of Changes in Retinal Thickness in Type 2 Diabetes without Diabetic Retinopathy. *J Diabetes Res.* 2018;**2018**:3082893. Doi: 10.1155/2018/3082893.
19. **Radadia HC, Sapre AA, Joshiyara PP, Shah DM, Ninama NA.** Analysis of macular thickness by spectral domain OCT in normal healthy population of Gujrat, India. *Indian J Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2018;**4(1)**:131-135. Doi: 10.18231/2395-1451.2018.0030
20. **Pinilla I, Idoipe M, Perdices L, Sanchez-Cano A, Acha J, Lopez-Galvez MI, et al.** Changes in total and inner retinal thicknesses in type 1 diabetes with no retinopathy after 8 years of follow-up. *Retina.* 2020;**40(7)**:1379-1386. Doi:10.1097/IAE.0000000000002576

Authors Designation and Contribution

Qasim Muhammad; Assistant Professor Optometry: *Concepts, Design, Literature search, Data acquisition, Data analysis, Statistical analysis, Manuscript preparation, Manuscript editing, Manuscript review.*

Anusha Lund; Optometrist: *Concepts, Design, Literature search, Data acquisition, Data analysis, Statistical analysis, Manuscript preparation.*

Sana Qasim; Optometrist: *Data analysis, Statistical analysis, Manuscript preparation, Manuscript editing, Manuscript review.*

Asif Maqsood; Medical Officer: *Manuscript preparation, Manuscript editing, Manuscript review.*

