Co-relation of Myopia with the Use of Smart Phones and Outdoor Activities
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To determine the frequency of myopia among children and to find out the role of smart phone usage and outdoor activities in myopia development and progression.

Study Design: Descriptive cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Ophthalmology Department DHQ-UTH Gujranwala, from January 2019 to June 2019.

Methods: After approval from the hospital ethical committee and informed consent from each participant, a descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out with a sample size of 250 patients. Patients of either gender between 4 – 14 years of age using smart phones for ≥ 2 hours daily and found to have refractive error were included in this study. Routine ophthalmic examination was carried out, data was recorded on proforma, and daily usage of smart phones and weekly outdoor activity in hours along with school grade, family history and previous history of using refractive glasses was also determined and documented. Data was analyzed using SPSS v.20

Results: There were 250 patients included in this study, out of which 142 (56.8%) were male and 108 (43.2%) were female. Mean age was 10.1 ± 2.45 years. Mean outdoor activity was 0.95 ± 0.98 hours per week while mean daily smart phone usage was 2.89 ± 0.93 hours. Pearson correlation coefficient for daily smart phone usage and UCVA was +0.297 (0.3) which showed a positive moderate association between two variables while the value obtained for UCVA and weekly outdoor activity was – 0.51 that depicted a negative strong association.

Conclusions: In conclusion, myopia occurrence is higher among smart phone users showing a moderate positive correlation while outdoor activities reduce myopia prevalence and progression depicting strong negative correlation.
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INTRODUCTION

Myopia is the commonest refractive error. Its prevalence varies considerably over various regions of the world with the maximum growing tendency among East Asian countries.1 It can occur in adults and children and its worldwide prevalence is 30%.2 According to some recent studies, number of people who developed myopia were about 1406 million in 2000 which increased to 1950 million in 2010 and it is highly predicted that this number will increase to 4758 million by 2050.3

Etiology of myopia is quite cumbersome with complex interactions between genetic and environmental factors. Many researchers have studied
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various genes involved with onset and progression of myopia which include IGF-1, PAX-6 gene, and BicC family RNA binding protein 1.\textsuperscript{4,5,6} Previously, it was assumed that only genetic factors contribute towards myopia but newer researches have documented the strong association of environmental factors. For example, high intelligence and education, lifestyle, low vitamin D levels, and most importantly use of electronic devices including the smartphones.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Computers, tablets, and smart phones are the demand of every home and working environment and are considered to be a part and parcel of modern life. Children are among the most growing users of smart phones and that is reflected by the international statistics. Many studies have linked myopia progression with the use of smart phones due to small screens and close working distance. Close target results in retinal defocusing which causes the eye to accommodate thus increasing its power to bring near objects in sharp focus. Areas of defocus on retina render the eyeball to grow thus adding to the magnitude of myopia.\textsuperscript{9}

The purpose of this study is to determine the prevalence of myopia among children and also to find out the role of smart phone usage as a contributing factor to myopia development and progression.

**METHODS**

After approval from the hospital ethical committee, verbal informed consent was collected from each patient/guardian. Patients of either gender between 4-14 years of age using smart phones for $\geq$ 2 hours daily presented to eye outdoor patient department of DHQ-UTH Gujranwala. Patients with any other intraocular disease or previous history of intraocular surgery were excluded from this study. It was a descriptive cross-sectional study with a sample size of 250 patients (included by convenient sampling technique) and time duration of six months (January - June 2019).

All included patients underwent the routine ophthalmic examination of visual acuity with pinhole testing, refractive error evaluation with the use of auto-refractometer followed by subjective refraction to determine the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA). Slit-lamp biomicroscopy and fundoscopy were carried out to exclude other causes of reduced visual acuity. Data was collected on a self-designed Performa. Daily usage of smart phones and weekly outdoor activity in hours along with school grade, family history and previous history of using refractive glasses was also determined and documented.

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 20. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables like gender, school grade, family history, previous history of using refractive glasses and age groups while Mean $\pm$ S.D was computed for numerical variables like age, visual acuity (log MAR) and refractive error (Diopeters). Pearson’s correlation was used to determine the significant association as well as strength of association between Visual acuity (log MAR) and time spent using a smart phone and in outdoor activities with r-value denoting the strength of association and r-sign showing a positive or negative association.

**RESULTS**

250 patients were included in this study, out of whom 142 (56.8%) were male and 108 (43.2%) were female. Mean age was 10.1 $\pm$ 2.45 years and all patients were divided into three age groups with 41 patients (16.4%) in 4–7 years age group, 105 (42%) in 8–11 years age group and 104 (41.6%) in 12–14 years age group.

Patients were also stratified according to school grade into three groups of 0–4 class, 5–8 class, 9–12 class, and their frequency as well as percentage in each group is depicted via the following pie chart; (Figure 1).
Family history of Myopia was present among 40.4% of patients and the previous history of using glasses was present only in 17.6% of patients.

Mean outdoor activity recorded was 0.95±0.98 hours per week. Thirty eight percent patients had no outdoor activity, 28.4% had 1 hour and 22% had 2 hours of weekly outdoor activity. Mean daily smart phone usage was 2.89 ± 0.93 hours. 38.4% with 2 hours daily usage, 8.0% with 2.5 hours, 29.2% with 3 hours, 0.4% with 3.5 hours, 17.6% with 4 hours, 5.6% with 5 hours and 0.8% with 6 hours daily smart phone usage shown by bar chart in figure 2.

Mean uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was log MAR 0.64 ± 0.35 and the range was log MAR 0.18 – 1.30. Mean refractive error was -1.31 ± 1.64 D with 92% having myopia while 8% with hyperopic refractive error. Following is the simple scatter graph between UCVA (log MAR) and daily smart phone usage (hours) and it shows R² linear of 0.088 and a positive association between two variables (Figure 3).

Assuming linear relationship between smart phone usage and UCVA (log MAR), Pearson correlation coefficient obtained was 0.297 (0.3) that shows intermediate/moderate association between two variables and positive direction of relationship depicts that increased smart phone usage causes increased UCVA (refractive error) (Table 1).

Following is the simple scatter graph between UCVA (log MAR) and weekly outdoor activity (hours) and it shows R² linear of 0.2601 and negative correlation (Figure 4).

### Table 1: Correlations b/w smart Phone Usage and UCVA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daily Smart Phone Usage (Hours)</th>
<th>Uncorrected Visual Acuity (Log MAR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily smart phone usage</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>.297**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Pearson correlation coefficient test was applied to determine the association between UCVA and weekly outdoor activity and it showed strong association (r = 0.51). Negative sign depicts direction of association and thus increased outdoor activity is associated with less UCVA (refractive error) (Table 2).

**Table 2:** Correlation b/w UCVA and Outdoor Activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UCVA (Log MAR)</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weekly outdoor activity (hours)</td>
<td>-.510**</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**DISCUSSION**

Myopia is a growing problem in the field of ophthalmology and here comes the need to determine its aggravating factors. In this study, we determined the relationship between smart phone usage and outdoor activity with myopia. Results showed the male to female ratio of 1.3:1 with a mean age of 10 years. Hittalamani et al. determined myopia prevalence among school-going children and their results showed that it was more common among girls 155 (58.27%) than boys 111 (41.73%).

Family history was present in 40.4% of patients in our study showing a remarkable genetic association and it was more marked in children with high refractive error of >5.00D. This finding is supported by another study carried out in Taiwan which showed an Odd’s Ratio of > 5.5 among high myopic patients with positive family history depicting a strong association. Iribarren et al. modified this approach and proved with their study that family history though important but not related to the final amount of refractive error in low and moderate myopia.

Mean outdoor activity recorded in our study is almost 1 hour per week and Pearson correlation showed a strong negative correlation (r = -0.51) between outdoor activities and reduced visual acuity (refractive error). This finding is supported by many ongoing researches which showed a positive impact of outdoor activities in slowing the development and progression of myopia. Oner et al. showed that myopia progression was associated with time spent on reading and writing and initial refraction value, during puberty. Several hypotheses are presented to focus on different aspects of outdoor activity, to explain the role of more outdoor activity in protecting against myopia. One is that the constricted pupil under sunlight leads to increased depth of focus and decreased blurriness. Another hypothesis is elevated retinal dopamine activity with sunlight exposure. This results in exposure to different light spectrums in natural light as compared to indoor light. Far less dioptr variation in outdoor activities is also considered a contributing factor. A meta-analysis was carried out by Deng L and Pang Y and results suggested a lower risk of myopia onset and myopic shift with more time spent in outdoor activities.

Mean daily smart phone usage recorded in this study was 2.89 ± 0.93 hours. Pearsons correlation coefficient depicted an intermediate/moderate positive association (r = +0.297) between daily smart phone usage and refractive error. Previous data is lacking in documenting an association between smart phone usage and myopia development though studies are available regarding the use of electronic devices and near work.

A cross-sectional survey was carried out in Beijing in 2008. The data was collected from 15,316 Chinese school students aged 6 to 18 years. Univariate and multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to compare the differences among different areas. Myopia was associated with shorter sleep times versus longer sleep times. It was also related with reading or writing distances less than 33 cm compared to distances greater than 33 cm. Other studies showed association of near work with increase in myopia.

The limitation of this study is that it is a cross-sectional study where further follow up of patients was not done. Future studies will be carried out for a longer follow-up to determine the effect of smart phone usage upon the progression of myopia.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, myopia occurrence is higher among smart phone users showing a moderate positive correlation while outdoor activities reduce myopia prevalence and progression depicting a strong negative correlation.
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